























SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LEADERSHIP COUNCIL











































CHAMBER of COMMERCE



LAKE ELSINORE VALLEY











































ELK GROVE CHAMBER







GREATER SAN FERNANDO VALLEY

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE





































March 16, 2023

The Honorable Josh Newman California State Senate 1021 O Street, Suite 6520 Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: SB 592 (NEWMAN) LABOR STANDARDS INFORMATION AND ENFORCEMENT SUPPORT/SPONSOR/JOB CREATOR – AS INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 15, 2023

Dear Senator Newman.

The California Chamber of Commerce, California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, and CalAsian Chamber of Commerce are pleased to **SUPPORT SB 592 (Newman)**, which has been labeled a **JOB CREATOR**. **SB 592** will bolster labor law compliance by requiring the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) to translate its website, in its entirety, into the languages most spoken by Californians. Further, it will prevent any employer who relies in good faith upon the written advice of the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement (DLSE) regarding how to comply with the law from being punished through the assessment of civil and criminal penalties, fines, and interest.

DLSE Guidance:

The DLSE is a state agency that is charged with enforcing the wage, hour and working condition labor laws. As a part of its effort to fulfill this responsibility, the DLSE issues opinion letters on various wage, hour and working condition topics, Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) regarding new labor laws, as well as an enforcement manual that sets forth the DLSE's interpretation and position on these issues. This guidance was critical, for example, during the COVID-19 pandemic due to the number of new laws and ever-changing regulations. Currently, employers must refer to the DLSE's written materials for "guidance" on these topics when there is no published, on-point case available. The DLSE can levy penalties against an employer for failing to do so if an employee files a wage claim.

The Catch-22 is that employers are provided with no certainty that they will be shielded from penalties if they comply in good faith with the DLSE's written opinions or interpretations. There have been numerous instances where courts have veered in a different direction from established DLSE guidance, resulting in employers owing not only back wages, but also penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA), Labor Code Sections 203, 226, and more. Examples include:

- Troester v. Starbucks, Cal.5th 829 (2018): The California Supreme Court rejected the *de minimis* doctrine for wage and hour claims, which is used in federal law, contrary to guidance included in the DLSE enforcement manual that employers must follow.
- Ward v. Tilly's, Inc., 31 Cal.App.5th 1167 (2019): A California court of appeals required employer to pay out reporting time pay prior to employee physically reporting to work, contrary to prior guidance issued by the DLSE.
- *Mendiola v. CPS Security Solutions, Inc.*, 60 Cal. 4th 833 (2015): The California Supreme Court holds employer liable for failure to pay "sleep time" during 24-hour shifts contrary to MOU entered into between DLSE and employer providing that employer's policy was valid.

SB 592 eliminates this problem and provides businesses in California with the security to know that, if they seek out and follow written advice from the DLSE regarding how to comply with the law, they can actually rely upon that information. Specifically, **SB 592** prevents an employer from being financially penalized through the assessment of statutory civil and criminal penalties, fines and interest if the employer relies in good faith on written advice from the DLSE and a court ultimately determines the DLSE's advice was wrong.

Further, while employers are expected to follow this guidance and can be penalized by the DLSE for failing to do so, the guidance is essentially unusable for non-English speaking employers. While some materials are available in Spanish under a separate index page, the guidance materials are not translated into other common languages spoken in California such as Chinese, Tagalog, and Vietnamese.

Helps Small Businesses:

California has complex, burdensome labor and employment laws that are unique to the rest of the country. Small businesses that lack the financial resources to hire a human resources department or outside counsel to advise them on how to comply with these labor and employment laws only have the DLSE for guidance. **SB 592** helps such small businesses by encouraging them to seek out and rely upon the advice they receive from the DLSE regarding how to comply with the law. During these difficult economic times, small businesses need certainty and SB 592 provides that certainty when state government provides advice.

Helps Non-English Speaking Employees and Employers:

While DIR must provide bilingual services, this has not included translation of their website. Because DIR's website is only available in English, non-English speaking employers and workers are unable to utilize these resources at all. It is estimated that as many as 30% of immigrants in California speak little or no English and most speak another language at home. Even for those that do speak some English, it is difficult to utilize these resources due to the legal complexity of California's labor laws. Employers may not know they are misinterpreting a law and employees cannot easily ensure that their rights are not being violated. By requiring DIR to translate its entire website into the four non-English languages most commonly spoken in California and protecting employers who rely on that guidance from penalties, **SB 592** benefits both employers and employees.

Ensures Employees Receive Their Full Wages:

Although **SB 592** prevents the assessment of any penalties, fines or interest against an employer who can prove their actions were based upon written guidance provided by the DLSE, <u>it still requires the employer to pay all wages owed to an employee as well as any awarded attorneys fees or costs</u>. In fact, **SB 592** requires an employer who has asserted its good faith reliance on the DLSE as a defense to post a bond for the disputed amount of wages, thereby ensuring the employee is made whole.

Does Not Protect Bad Actors:

SB 592 requires the employer to prove that they are entitled to the good faith defense just as if it was asserting any other defense. This means that the burden of proof falls on the employer to demonstrate that it: (1) relied upon, and conformed to, the applicable opinion letter or enforcement policy published by the division; and (2) provided true and correct information to the division in seeking an opinion letter or enforcement policy, if applicable. The employer <u>cannot</u> succeed in asserting this defense if the facts and circumstances of the case did not align with the opinion letter or enforcement policy at issue. If the court is not satisfied with the employer's evidence, it will reject the defense and assess penalties. A bad actor will not be able to satisfy these requirements. **SB 592** solely protects the good actor who proactively seeks out guidance and conforms to it.

Notably, since 1947, the federal government has provided employers who rely in good faith upon the advice, opinion letters and guidance of the Department of Labor regarding the Fair Labor Standards Act with a complete defense against liability. See 29 U.S.C. Sections 258-259. This law, referenced as the Portal-to-

Portal Act has been in existence for over 60 years. The California Fair Political Practices Commission as well as the California Rev & Tax Code regarding property taxpayers also contain good faith defenses.

Creates Certainty for Employers:

When the Portal-to-Portal Act was enacted, Congress set forth in its findings and declarations that "uncertainty on the part of industry," as well as "the difficulties in the sound and orderly conduct of business and industry," could negatively impact commerce. Accordingly, it included an affirmative defense for employers who rely upon the interpretations and opinions of the Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor.

Echoing the same concerns here, uncertainty for California employers regarding the correct application of California's numerous labor and employment laws detrimentally impacts the state's economy as well as employees. Providing certainty through SB 592 will assist all employers in their efforts to comply with the law, thereby producing a better business environment, growth in the economy, and an improved work environment for employees.

For these reasons, we are pleased to SUPPORT/SPONSOR SB 592 (Newman) as a JOB CREATOR.

Sincerely.

Ashley Hoffman Policy Advocate

California Chamber of Commerce, Cosponsor

Julian Canete

President and CEO

California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, Cosponsor

Pat Fong Kushida

President and CEO

Cal Asian Chamber of Commerce, Cosponsor

Acclamation Insurance Management Services (AIMS)

Allied Managed Care (AMC)

Anaheim Chamber of Commerce

Pat Fang Kusmida

Antelope Valley Chambers of Commerce

California Apartment Association

California Association for Health Services at Home

California Association of Health Facilities

California Association of Joint Powers Authorities

California Association of Winegrape Growers

California Building Industry Association

California Business Roundtable

California Cattlemen's Association

California Farm Bureau

California Fuels and Convenience Alliance

California Hotel and Lodging Association

California League of Food Producers

California Restaurant Association

California Retailers Association

California Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors National Association

California State Council of the Society for Human Resource Management

California Trucking Association

Carlsbad Chamber of Commerce

Chino Valley Chamber of Commerce

Citrus Heights Chamber of Commerce

Civil Justice Association of California

Coalition of California Chambers Orange County

Coalition of Small and Disabled Veteran Businesses

Costa Mesa Chamber of Commerce

Dana Point Chamber of Commerce

El Dorado County Chamber of Commerce

El Dorado Hills Chamber of Commerce

Elk Grove Chamber of Commerce

Family Business Association of California

Flasher Barricade Association (FBA)

Folsom Chamber of Commerce

Fountain Valley Chamber of Commerce

Fresno Chamber of Commerce

Garden Grove Chamber of Commerce

Gilroy Chamber of Commerce

Greater High Desert Chamber of Commerce

Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce

Greater San Fernando Valley Chamber of Commerce

Half Moon Bay Coastside Chamber

Hollywood Chamber of Commerce

Housing Contractors of California

Imperial Valley Regional Chamber of Commerce

La Cañada Flintridge Chamber of Commerce

Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce

Lincoln Area Chamber of Commerce

Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce

Menifee Valley Chamber of Commerce

National Federation of Independent Business

Oceanside Chamber of Commerce

Official Police Garages of Los Angeles

Orange County Business Council

Rancho Cordova Area Chamber of Commerce

Redondo Beach Chamber of Commerce

Rocklin Area Chamber of Commerce

Roseville Area Chamber of Commerce

Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce

San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership

San Juan Capistrano Chamber of Commerce

San Marcos Chamber of Commerce

Santa Ana Chamber of Commerce

Santa Barbara South Coast Chamber of Commerce

Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce

Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce
Shingle Springs/Cameron Park Chamber of Commerce
Southern California Leadership Council
South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce
Tulare Chamber of Commerce
United Chamber Advocacy Network
Valley Industry and Commerce Association
Western Growers Association
West Ventura County Business Alliance
Western United Dairies
Wine Institute
Yorba Linda Chamber of Commerce
Yuba Sutter Chamber of Commerce

cc: Legislative Affairs, Office of the Governor

AH:am